

IM41/0824

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/706,217 08/30/96 SERIAL NUMBER -FILING DATE

BERG

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKETINO.

CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE P 0 BOX 7068 PASADENA CA 91109-7068

**EXAMINER** 

		1741	
		ARTUNI	T PAPER NUMBER
			08/24/12
		DATE MAILED:	, 1
EXAMINE	ER INTERVIEW SUMMAF	RY RECORD	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	ersonnel):		
Fx. Fan Wong	(3)		
(1) Ex. Eana Worning (2) David Plumby	(3)		
(2) DWICK PRIMARY	(4)		
Date of Interview 8598	·		
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ ap	oplicant  applicant's represe	ntative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes   No.	If yes, brief description:		
		4	·
	-		
Agreement    was reached with respect to some or all of the	ne claims in question. 🕒 was n	ot reached.	
Claims discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: White Texas	/α.		
	•		
			icuant-la acoson-tea
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an	agreement was reached, or an	y other comments: upp	June 14180 110
urgued that the word "passi	ie" in the clair	n aistmyulshi	o over the privi
wit. The Ecommin aisagreed	L because the	property would	not be spraying
with a force that would read	istribute the p	rthus but v	ritio the wir. That
if it was the purpose of cupte	oring the puntin	si ayeutid	- appliant would
(A inflor electrified it is to establish and aloopy as the atmention attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which wo	ske and naidway delining of shade	t farmet Bluby vessible August	me Maime allowatile of the con-
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate			
Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE action has already been filed, then applicant is given one mo	INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 or	n the reverse side of this forn	n). If a response to the last Office
2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (include requirements that may be present in the last Office a response requirements of the last Office action. Apple box 1 above is also checked.	action, and since the claims are	now allowable, this complet	ed form is considered to fulfill the e substance of the interview unless
PTOL 440 (PEV 0.00)	 Fy	aminer's Signature	<del></del>
PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)			1





## UNITED STA .... DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOC	KET NO.
		skut en historius styras as konas i filoloogia suuri on Silongi kreni kuteliin jakeletre tiives yütta tikelii Tara	and the state of t	
		and the second second second second	EXAMINER	
र्वा केन्द्र प्राप्त के प्राप्त के प्राप्त के लिए हैं के प्राप्त के उन्हें प्राप्त के प्रवास के प्राप्त के प्र प्रमुख्य के प्रमुख्य के प्रविद्योगित के प्राप्त किया के प्रमुख्य के प्राप्त के प्रमुख्य के प्राप्त के प्रमुख्य		o en la companya de la companya de La companya de la co		
	•			ER NUMBER
		in elektronische State (in der State der George der State (in der State		\$YY
in konstruktur albumaken konstruktur. Konstruktur biotoartista konstruktur kan	i ku sani kwa Mariata ilia <u>ilia wa</u>	, it is an included a second of the country of the	E MAILED:	
		over the wife of the whole the interest of a innel): The transport tradition of present to be		
i di Prima <u>i i</u> fina a i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	tifus to a Million fate to 10 th in the a	计数据编码 化氯磺二甲酚酚 建铁铁矿矿 电二进载磁铁管电池		
M. D. Pumley		(3)	<u> </u>	
15PE K 50100S		Control (4) gran mentions of the control of a grant of	· · · · ·	:.
ate of Interview 8-11-9	🛂 — iski firma ir ilisas salis	त्रम् । प्राप्ति विकास अस्ति स्थापनी विकास सम्बद्धान्य । स्थापनी विकास सम्बद्धान्य । स्थापनी विकास सम्बद्धान्य स्थापनी विकास सम्बद्धान्य । स्थापनी सम्बद्धान्य सम्बद्धान्य । स्थापनी सम्बद्धान्य । स्थापनी सम्बद्धान्य । स्था	Course New Control	
	to but by the property and the	<del>und an de</del> vermit fan dê slock ek it dat de de miliek vinn. Dit en gjog gegen fan de gemeente gewonde in dit stêre gemeente ge		
•		ant applicant's representative).		
xhibit shown or demonstration co	nducted: Yes XNo If y	ves, brief description:	e digital in the control of	<del></del>
	•		10.25°	
		In the law stages and the second		
greement was reached. u	was not reached.	र प्राप्त के किया किया है। जो प्राप्त के प्राप्त के किया है कि जो को देखन के किया किया है किय		
laim(s) discussed:/	<del></del>	e grand and the first of the fi		
lentification of prior art discussed	DE 4318.885	The first of the second of the	an Andrewson (1997) in the State of the Stat	•
entineation of phorait discussed.	Protoc	The second of th		
	<del></del>	للعب وفعود فيطفؤه أراني أفعين بوين بالقرار والبايي		
escription of the general nature o	f what was agreed to if an ag	reement was reached, or any other comm	nents: Applicant	s' coatro
s applied with	no force usual	to the German refer	ENCE OPPACE	5 to 1000
itum teller a væger vær Maet i	Same Ard Armed Same	and programmed to the second supplied the second of the se	paramana, Maria	1 10
Evolutos ou		ing. It is Plospool		aduna Ti
elevence one sk	ellow in the out	won la realize that	"sprauing" is	not the
- H -	a selection and a law Va	ramen Anna Anna ann ann an Anna		
production SPR SUGG		most likely cause the		Separate
A fuller description, if necessary	and a copy of the amendment	is, if available, which the examiner agree	d would render the clain	ns allowable
nust:be.attached. Also, where no: ttached.)	copy of the amendments whi	ch would render the claims allowable is a	vailable, a summary the	reof must be
	ant to provide a separate reco	ord of the substance of the interview.	reaction (2) are known in	•
ាក់ ខាត់ក្រុម ប្រធានជានៅក្រុម និង ខេ	or the first of the section of the section	e contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESP		EEICE ACTION
NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	.UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MO	THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7 DNTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	13.04). If a response to	the last Office
rejections and requirements	that may be present in the last ponse requirements of the last	any attachments) reflects a complete rest Office action, and since the claims are st Office action. Applicant is not relieved	sponse to each of the c	pleted form
Januarie Court Contract et al.		nt to another form	/	
kaminer Note: You must sign this	ioini uniess it is an attachme	ni to another form.		
ORM <b>PTOL-413</b> (REV.1-96)	• •	$\lambda i \pi i \Lambda$	MI	_

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111.1.135, (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes a complemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record; the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.